

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,219	05/07/2004	Tsung-Chun Chen	2450-0694PUS1	9687
2292 7590 08/01/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			DESCHERE, ANDREW M	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/840,219	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew M. Deschere	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 April 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Sée the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 18 April 2007 has amended claims 1. Examiner's objections to minor informalities are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujikado (US 6,597,074) and Massie (US 6,144,115).

Tsujikado discloses a power source control system for connecting a plurality of power supplies (Figure 3, elements 32 and 36). A power actuation unit (switch 44) outputs a signal to activate power output (Figure 1, SW_ON, terminal 52; column 5, lines 55-60). A control unit (48) receives this signal and outputs a second signal (AC_SWITCH, terminal 76) to connect a selected power supply to the load, a computer (12) (column 6, lines 20-33). The AC power supply (32) connects to an interface (46), providing a signal (LINE_ON, terminal 50) indicating its power state (column 5, lines 52-55). Another signal (AC_ON/OFF, terminal 72) is output to the load (communication interface 20 of computer 12), indicating power status (column 6, lines 1-7).

Application/Control Number: 10/840,219

Art Unit: 2836

Tsujikado does not teach a plurality of second actuation signals (as above, AC_SWITCH) nor a plurality of second confirmation signals (as above, LINE_ON). Massie discloses a power sharing distribution system with three connected power supplies (Figure 1). A controller (C) outputs a plurality of actuation signals (GS-A, GS-B, and GS-C) and receives a plurality of confirmation signals (EN-A, EN-B, and EN-C) from the power supplies (column 4, lines 12-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a plurality of AC power supplies in the invention of Tsujikado to further secure uninterrupted power supplied to the load by sharing the current drawn among three supplies and lessening individual burden on a single AC supply.

With respect to Figure 3, Tsujikado does not teach that the control unit may be realized as an integrated circuit. Massie discloses a power distribution system with plural power supplies. The controller of Massie is an integrated circuit (Figure 3; column 7, lines 4-10) with signal output pins (GS-A, GS-B, and GS-C) corresponding to each power supply (Power Supply A-C, Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an integrated circuit controller in the above combination of Tsujikado and Massie to minimize physical space used by the control unit and limit power dissipation due to heat losses compared to using the alternate option of discrete components.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 18 April 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the AC power input 32 and battery 36 of Tsujikado are different from the power source of the present invention. Albeit a special definition for the term "power

Art Unit: 2836

supplies", the Examiner assumes these power source elements may be reasonably interpreted as power supplies.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., providing greater power without changing the design of the original power supply structure) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew M. Deschere whose telephone number is (571) 272-8391. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMD

MICHAEL SHERRY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800